

A foggy telescope: challenges for the role of German forests in climate policy

Hannes Böttcher | LULUCF Workshop Umeå (online) | 31.10.2024



- Sector is a net source of 4 Mt CO₂eq (2022)
- Forest reported to be net sink, similar size of emissions from agricultural land and wetlands
- Highest variability reported for forests
- Highest sink about -20 to -30 Mt CO₂eq
- Periods with lower forest sink show only limited increases in HWP





Germany's LULUCF sector



Hannes Böttcher | LULUCF Workshop Umeå (online) | 31.10.2024

ď

3





Hannes Böttcher | LULUCF Workshop Umeå (online) | 31.10.2024

Ð

Germany's most recent NFI

- Recent NFI: forest biomass C stocks in 2022 found to be at level of 2012
- \rightarrow no increase in stocks = no (net) sink





- Need for a differentiated look at species
- Massive losses of spruce biomass C!

Making use of available data for earlier estimates of effects on GHGs



a) Spruce

c) Pine

e) Beech

2000 2005

a farme a farmere

2005

b) Other coniferous trees

d) Other deciduous trees

2000 2005 2010 2015

2000 2005

التليب السيبين والمتحدين والتليب بسيبيا

2000 2005 2010 2015

f) Oak

- Annual statistics on harvest statistics
- Share of wood from salvage logging

 Annual assessment of tree mortality and forest health





Abiotic [%] Biotic [%]

2020

2015 2020

2010 2015

Clearing some fog from the telescope

Model projections with alternative assumptions on intensity of natural disturbances



Öko-Institut e.V. Institut für angewandte Ökologie Institute for Applied Ecology Home → Blog Earlier estimation of developments in the CO2 storage capacity of forests: categorising the results of the German **National Forest Inventory** The fourth German National Forest Inventory published on 8 October 2024 provides comprehensive results on the condition and development of forests for the period of 2017 to 2022. From a climate protection perspective, forests emitted more CO2 than they absorbed during this period. Below we classify the results and use our model FABio-Forest to show as an example the importance of forest modelling for climate policy. 10/22/2024 (f) 🚿 (in Hannes Böttche Dr. Klaus Hennenberg





Öko-Institut e.V.



Hennenberg et al. (2024a)

Hannes Böttcher | LULUCF Workshop Umeå (online) | 31.10.2024

https://www.oeko.de/en/blog/earlier-estimation-of-developments-in-the-co2-storage-capacity-ot-torests-categorising-theresults-of-the-german-national-forest-inventory/

https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/sites/default/files/medien/11850/publikationen/projektionen_oeko_waldszenarien.pdf



Wood use matters (to some degree)



Invisible emissions and removals in LULUCF

- Reporting focuses on net balance of different categories
 - Forest land = sink
 - Settlements = source
- Invisible are gross emissions and removals within categories
 - The only "real" sink: Biomass growth (NPP)
 - Biomass extraction is an emission
 - Transfer: between categories, e.g. forest biomass to Harvested Wood Products only limited





Invisible emissions and removals in LULUCF

- In reporting, natural processes are simplified
 - Annual averages
 - Stock changes over time, e.g. biomass and soil carbon in forests are often estimated using "stock-difference"
 - No information on "gains" and "losses"
- Reporting is still incomplete
 - organic soils are underreported compared to independent data
 - Soil carbon often reported using default values



Are natural sinks unreliable?



NOT IN GENERAL, BUT:

- Bottom-up development of methods by Member States
- Member States obliged to continuously improve estimates, → recalculations needed
- Lack of (measured) reference data
- Lack of separation of climate change (indirect) and management (directly human-induced) effects
- Climate change and natural disturbances
 not adequately considered in projections

- LULUCF methodological improvements are demanded by LULUCF Regulation, this will improve the data basis in general
- More practical provisions for dealing with natural disturbance and climate change effects
- Include disturbances consistently (with GHGI and between MS) in projections
- LULUCF Regulation accounts for emissions **and** removals, but:
 - Net reporting categories mix emissions and removals
 - Methods are diverse, gross fluxes (forest increment) often not reported
- → More gross data needed for better assessment of real carbon fluxes
- \rightarrow Ultimately: any flux to the atmosphere needs to be avoided!



LULUCF Handbook



- LULUCF Handbook Version 2 by EEA published in May 2024
- Explains the basics of the LULUCF Regulation
- Gives guidance for Member States for the implementation of the LULUCF Regulation
- Provides illustrative case studies from Member States

Öko-Institut e.V.





Thank you!

h.boettcher@oeko.de

This study benefited from funding for the projects DIFENs (Waldklimafonds FKZ: 2220WK32A4) and LANDMARC (European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, Grant Agreement No. 869367)